

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

Preprint series, Vol. 39 (2001), 780

COMPACT OPEN TOPOLOGY
AND CW HOMOTOPY TYPE

Jaka Smrekar

ISSN 1318-4865

October 22, 2001

Ljubljana, October 22, 2001

COMPACT OPEN TOPOLOGY AND CW HOMOTOPY TYPE

JAKA SMREKAR

ABSTRACT. The class \mathcal{W} of spaces having the homotopy type of a CW complex is not closed under formation of function spaces. In 1959, J. Milnor proved the fundamental theorem that, given a space $Y \in \mathcal{W}$ and a compact Hausdorff space X , the space Y^X of continuous functions $X \rightarrow Y$, endowed with the compact open topology, belongs to \mathcal{W} . P. J. Kahn extended this in 1982, showing that $Y^X \in \mathcal{W}$ if X has finite n -skeleton and $\pi_k(Y) = 0$, $k > n$.

Using a different approach, we obtain a further generalization and give interesting examples of function spaces $Y^X \in \mathcal{W}$ where $X \in \mathcal{W}$ is not homotopy equivalent to a finite complex, and $Y \in \mathcal{W}$ has infinitely many nontrivial homotopy groups. We also obtain information about some topological properties that are intimately related to CW homotopy type.

As an application we solve a related problem concerning towers of fibrations between spaces of CW homotopy type.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the class \mathcal{W} of topological spaces having the homotopy type of a CW complex is not closed under formation of function spaces. In [12], J. Milnor has shown that if a space Y belongs to \mathcal{W} and X is a compact Hausdorff space, the space of continuous functions Y^X endowed with the compact open topology also belongs to \mathcal{W} .

In a generalization in [10], P. J. Kahn has weakened the compactness assumption for the domain X , thereby imposing restrictions on the target Y . He proved that if X and Y are connected CW complexes such that $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ for $k \geq n + 1$ and X has finite n -skeleton, then the space Y^X has the homotopy type of a CW complex. He uses an inverse limit approach, reducing the problem to the fact that for a tower of fibrations between contractible spaces, the limit space is also contractible.

In §2 we extend the result of Kahn, obtaining a sufficient condition on connected CW complexes X and Y for the function space Y^X to have CW homotopy type with no *a priori* restrictions on homotopy groups of Y . We present an example of a space Y^X of CW homotopy type, where X is not homotopy equivalent to a finite complex, and Y has infinitely many nontrivial homotopy groups.

In §3 we restate the result of §2 in a sharper version for the case when X is a countable complex, obtaining also some information about topological properties of Y^X that are intimately related to CW homotopy type. We show that, roughly, if X is a skeleton-finite complex, and $H^i(X; \pi_j(Y)) = 0$ for all large enough j and all large enough i such that $i \leq j$, then Y^X has CW homotopy type, and is well-pointed for any choice of base-point.

Date: August 28, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55P99, 54C35; Secondary 55P05, 54G20.

Key words and phrases. Homotopy type, CW complex, function space, tower of fibrations.

We give an interesting generalization of the main theorem, which implies that for any countable complex X , and a complex Y with $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ for $k \geq n + 1$, $\Omega^n Y^X$ has CW homotopy type. It follows that in this case Y^X has CW homotopy type if and only if it has the homotopy type of a locally equiconnected space.

As an application of our results we present in §4 an explicit counterexample that clarifies a problem regarding a small error in Theorem B of [5] (as noted in [6]).

The proofs of the main theorems of §2 and §3 are based on an inverse limit argument, viewing Y^X as the limit of inverse system $\{Y^L\}$, where L ranges over finite subcomplexes of X and the bonding maps are restriction fibrations $Y^{L_2} \rightarrow Y^{L_1}$. Milnor's theorem implies that every space in this system has CW homotopy type, and we investigate conditions under which the limit space has CW type as well. This approach was inspired by the paper of J. Dydak and R. Geoghegan [5]. In the proof of Theorem 2.1 we employ a technique used by Geoghegan in [8].

Our approach differs from that of Kahn in two aspects. First, he views Y^X as the inverse limit of $\{Y^{X^{(n)}} \mid n\}$, where $X^{(n)}$ denotes the n -skeleton of X . Next, he works in the category of compactly generated Hausdorff spaces. In particular, function spaces are endowed with the compactly generated refinement of the compact open topology. We use throughout the compact open topology, and observe in §3 that if a Hausdorff space Z has CW type, so has $k(Z)$, where k denotes the "compact generation" functor, and that for a countable complex X , the space Y^X has CW type if and only if $k(Y^X)$ has CW type. Hence our Corollary 2.4 implies Theorem 1.1. of [10], and in the general case not vice versa.

1. Conventions and notation. We work with specific CW decompositions of the domain complex X , and formulate the theorems accordingly. Since homotopy equivalences $X \simeq X'$ and $Y \simeq Y'$ induce a homotopy equivalence $Y^X \simeq Y'^{X'}$ (see Theorem 6.2.25 of [11] for a proof), everything can be interpreted in a homotopy invariant form. The standard conditions on the domain complex X are finiteness of each skeleton $X^{(k)}$ and finiteness of a single skeleton $X^{(n)}$. We refer the reader to the papers of C. T. C. Wall ([17], [18]) for an algebraic characterization of spaces having the homotopy type of such complexes.

Since we are discussing spaces of CW homotopy type, we distinguish strictly between homotopy equivalent and *weakly* homotopy equivalent, shortly just equivalent and weakly equivalent, respectively. Occasionally we shorten 'homotopy type' to 'type'.

The term fibration is used for a Hurewicz fibration, that is a (not necessarily surjective) map with the homotopy lifting property with respect to all spaces.

We use the following notation.

- Y^X the space of continuous functions $X \rightarrow Y$, endowed with the compact open topology,
- $C_g(L)$ the path component of Y^L that contains $g|_L: L \rightarrow Y$, where L is a subcomplex of Y and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map,
- $X(K)$ the smallest subcomplex of the CW complex X that contains K .

2. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE FUNCTION SPACE Y^X TO HAVE CW HOMOTOPY TYPE

The main result of this section is the following

Theorem 2.1. *Let X and Y be connected CW complexes, and let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Suppose there exists a finite subcomplex T of X with the following properties*

- (i) $X^{(1)} \subset T$,
- (ii) *every subcomplex $L \leq X$ containing T is contained in a subcomplex L' such that L'/L is finite and*

$$H^i(L', T; (g|_{L'})^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 2 \text{ and all } i \leq j,$$

where the cohomology is taken with local coefficients, $(g|_{L'})^\# \pi_j(Y)$ being the $\mathbb{Z}\pi_1(L')$ -module obtained by restriction $(g|_{L'})_\#: \pi_1(L') \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$.

Then the path component C of g in Y^X is open and closed in Y^X , and is homotopy equivalent to the path component of $g|_T$ in Y^T , hence has the homotopy type of a CW complex.

Addendum. *If Y is simply connected, then condition (i) of Theorem 2.1 may be omitted.*

Corollary 2.2. *If the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for all maps $g: X \rightarrow Y$, then Y^X is homotopy equivalent to the union of some path components of Y^T , hence has the type of a CW complex.*

Corollary 2.3. *If X has no 1-cells (or T can be so chosen that $\pi_1(T)$ is trivial), or if Y is a simple space, the cohomology in property (ii) of the theorem is the usual cohomology $H^i(L', T; \pi_j(Y))$, independently of the map $g: X \rightarrow Y$. If the condition of the theorem is satisfied, the conclusion applies to all path components of Y^X which is again homotopy equivalent to the union of some path components of Y^T .*

Corollary 2.4. *If X is homotopy equivalent to a connected CW complex with finite n -skeleton, and Y is homotopy equivalent to a connected CW complex with $\pi_k(Y)$ trivial for $k \geq n+1$, then Y^X belongs to \mathcal{W} .*

Remark 2.5. Corollary 2.4 corresponds to the result of Kahn ([10], Theorem 1.1.) for the compact open topology. Note that Corollary 2.4 implies Theorem 1.1. of [10], since for Hausdorff spaces Z_1, Z_2 , $Z_1 \simeq Z_2$ implies $k(Z_1) \simeq k(Z_2)$. See also Corollary 3.5.

We shall make use of the following ‘‘strong form’’ of the exponential law for the compact open topology (see [4]).

Lemma 2.6. *The exponential law $Y^{L \times B} \approx (Y^L)^B$ holds true if the product $L \times B$ is compactly generated and L is Hausdorff. \square*

For the sake of brevity, we adopt the following

Notation. (i) *For $L_1 \leq L_2$, we denote the restriction fibration $R_{L_1, L_2}: Y^{L_2} \rightarrow Y^{L_1}$. Note that $R(C_g(L_2)) = C_g(L_1)$.*

(ii) *We denote the cohomological condition of Theorem 2.1 as*

$$(\mathcal{WE}_g(L')) \quad H^i(L', T; (g|_{L'})^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0, \quad \forall j \geq 2, \quad \forall i \leq j.$$

(The subcomplex T of X is assumed fixed.) The letters \mathcal{WE} stand for ‘‘weak equivalence’’; see Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.7. *Consider the restriction fibration $Y^{L'} \xrightarrow{R} Y^T$. The property $\mathcal{WE}_g(L')$ implies that $R|_{C_g(L')}: C_g(L') \rightarrow C_g(T)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence and $R^{-1}(C_g(T)) = C_g(L')$. The latter implies that $C_g(L')$ is both open and closed in $Y^{L'}$.*

Proof. Denote by F the fibre over $g|_T$ of $Y^{L'} \rightarrow Y^T$. We claim that $\pi_k(F, g|_{L'})$ is trivial, for all $k \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.6 a loop $(S^k, *) \rightarrow (F, g|_{L'})$ corresponds to a map $\phi: S^k \times L' \rightarrow Y$. To prove the claim we have to extend ϕ over $B^{k+1} \times L'$, the restriction to $B^{k+1} \times T$ being $g \circ \text{pr}_T$. If T contains the 1-skeleton of X , then the subcomplex $B^{k+1} \times T \cup S^k \times L'$ contains the 2-skeleton of $B^{k+1} \times L'$, for any $k \geq 0$, so in this case ϕ is already 2-extended. All further obstructions vanish. As regards the addendum to Theorem 2.1, we note that if $\pi_1(Y) = 0$, then ϕ can be 2-extended with no conditions on T . \square

We begin with a technical lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Assume $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration and $\pi: E \rightarrow B$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $M(\pi)$ denote the mapping cylinder of π . If $r: M(\pi) \rightarrow B$ is a map such that $r|_{E \times 1} = p$, then there exist a map $s: B \rightarrow E$ such that $p \circ s = r|_B$ and a homotopy $h: E \times I \rightarrow E$ between the composite $s \circ \pi$ and id_E such that $p \circ h = r \circ q$ where $q: E \times I \rightarrow M(\pi)$ is the quotient map.*

If, in addition, $\pi(e_0) = b_0$ and $r(b_0) = p(e_0)$ where $\{e_0\} \hookrightarrow E$ and $\{b_0\} \hookrightarrow B$ are closed cofibrations, then it can be arranged that $s(b_0) = e_0$ with the homotopy being $\text{rel } \{e_0\}$.

Remark. If $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration and a homotopy equivalence, then taking π to be p and r the standard retraction $M(p) \rightarrow B$, we obtain a right inverse s for p and a fibre-preserving homotopy between $s \circ p$ and the identity map.

Proof. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times 1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_E} & E \\ \downarrow \simeq & \nearrow \pi & \downarrow p \\ M(\pi) & \xrightarrow{r} & B \end{array}$$

The inclusion $E \times 1 \hookrightarrow M(\pi)$ is both a closed cofibration and a homotopy equivalence, hence Theorem 3 of [16] may be applied to obtain the filling which yields both a map s and a homotopy h as claimed.

For the second part of the lemma, one quickly checks that the inclusion $q(E \times 1 \cup \{e_0\} \times I) \hookrightarrow M(\pi)$ is a closed cofibration (and a homotopy equivalence). Making an obvious replacement in the above diagram, we conclude the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Keeping the map g fixed, write $C(L)$ instead of $C_g(L)$ and $\mathcal{WE}(L)$ instead of $\mathcal{WE}_g(L)$. Since T is finite, $Y^T \in \mathcal{W}$ by Milnor's theorem. Hence $C(T)$ is both open and closed in Y^T , and $C(T) \in \mathcal{W}$. The assumptions imply $\mathcal{WE}(X)$, hence $R_{T,X}^{-1}(C(T)) = C(X)$, and $C(X)$ is open and closed in Y^X . Define

$$\Lambda = \{(L, E, h) \mid T \leq L \leq X, E: C(T) \rightarrow C(L); \\ R_{T,L} \circ E = \text{id}_{C(T)}, h: E \circ R_{T,L} \simeq \text{id}_{C(L)}\}.$$

Note that by definition, for $(L, E, h) \in \Lambda$, $C(T) \simeq C(L) \in \mathcal{W}$.

Order Λ by setting $(L_1, E_1, h_1) \prec (L_2, E_2, h_2)$ if $L_1 \leq L_2$, and the following coherence conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & R_{L_1, L_2} \circ E_2 = E_1, \\ (**) \quad & R_{L_1, L_2} \circ h_2 = h_1 \circ (R_{L_1, L_2} \times \text{id}_I). \end{aligned}$$

This is evidently a partial ordering.

Let $S = \{(L_\alpha, E_\alpha, h_\alpha) \mid \alpha\}$ be a nonempty totally ordered subset of Λ . We claim that there exists an upper bound for S . Let $L = \cup_\alpha L_\alpha$. Form an inverse system $\{C(L_\alpha) \mid \alpha\}$ where the bond for $L_\alpha \leq L_\beta$ is the restriction map R_{L_α, L_β} . One verifies readily that the inverse limit equals $C = \{f: L \rightarrow Y \mid f|_{L_\alpha} = R_{L_\alpha, L}(f) \in C(L_\alpha), \forall \alpha\}$ with the natural projections $R_{L_\alpha, L}: C \rightarrow C(L_\alpha)$.

The system of maps $E_\alpha: C(T) \rightarrow C(L_\alpha)$ defines a map $E: C(T) \rightarrow C$ with $E_\alpha = R_{L_\alpha, L}E$ by virtue of (*). In particular, $R_{T, L}E = \text{id}_{C(T)}$. Furthermore, by virtue of (**) the system of homotopies $h_\alpha \circ (R_{L_\alpha, L} \times \text{id}_I): C \times I \rightarrow C(L_\alpha)$ defines a homotopy $h: C \times I \rightarrow C$ such that $h: ER_{T, L} \simeq \text{id}_C$ and $R_{L_\alpha, L} \circ h = h_\alpha \circ (R_{L_\alpha, L} \times \text{id}_I)$. This exhibits C as homotopy equivalent to $C(T)$. Therefore C must be path connected and since it contains $C(L)$, it follows that $C = C(L)$. Hence (L, E, h) is an upper bound for S .

Let now (L, E, h) be a maximal element of Λ . If $L \neq X$, then there exists a cell e of $X - L$. By assumption (ii) of Theorem 2.1 there exists a subcomplex L' containing $L \cup X(e)$ such that $L'/(L \cup X(e))$ is finite and $\mathcal{WE}(L')$ holds. Then $C(L') \rightarrow C(T)$ is a weak equivalence, by Lemma 2.7. Write $L' = L \cup M$ where M is a finite subcomplex. Since $C(L) \rightarrow C(T)$ is an equivalence, $C(L \cup M) \rightarrow C(L)$ must be a weak equivalence. Since $R_{T, L \cup M}^{-1}(C(T)) = C(L \cup M)$, it follows that $R_{L, L \cup M}^{-1}(C(L)) = C(L \cup M)$. This implies that $C(L \cup M)$ is a topological pull-back of $C(M) \rightarrow C(L \cap M) \leftarrow C(L)$. Therefore $C(M) \rightarrow C(L \cap M)$ is a weak equivalence, hence by Whitehead's theorem an equivalence, since by Milnor's theorem, $C(M), C(L \cap M) \in \mathcal{W}$. We apply (the remark after) Lemma 2.8 to obtain a right inverse ε for $R_{L \cap M, M}: C(M) \rightarrow C(L \cap M)$ and a fibre-preserving homotopy $\chi: \varepsilon \circ R_{L \cap M, M} \simeq \text{id}_{C(M)}$. Then the map $e: C(L) \rightarrow C(L \cup M)$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi \sqcup \varepsilon(\varphi|_{L \cap M})$, is a right inverse for $R_{L, L \cup M}$, and $C(L \cup M) \times I \rightarrow C(L \cup M)$, $(\phi, t) \mapsto \phi|_L \sqcup \chi(\phi|_M, t)$, is a (fibre-preserving) homotopy between $e \circ R_{L, L \cup M}$ and $\text{id}_{C(L \cup M)}$. Hence $C(L \cup M) \rightarrow C(L)$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Denote by $M(E \circ R_{T, L \cup M})$ and $M(R_{T, L})$ the mapping cylinders of $E \circ R_{T, L \cup M}: C(L \cup M) \rightarrow C(L)$ and $R_{T, L}: C(L) \rightarrow C(T)$, respectively. Note that $E \circ R_{T, L \cup M}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Let $r: M(R_{L, L \cup M}) \rightarrow C(L)$ be the composite of the map $M(E \circ R_{T, L \cup M}) \rightarrow M(R_{T, L})$ induced by $R_{L, L \cup M} \times \text{id}_I + R_{T, L}$ and the map $M(R_{T, L}) \rightarrow C(L)$ induced by $h + E$. Since $R_{T, L} \circ E = \text{id}$, r is well defined. Since $r|_{C(L \cup M) \times 1} = h_1 \circ R_{L, L \cup M} = R_{L, L \cup M}$, we may appeal again to Lemma 2.8 to obtain a map $u: C(L) \rightarrow C(L \cup M)$ such that $R_{L, L \cup M} \circ u = E \circ R_{T, L}$ and a homotopy $H: C(L \cup M) \times I \rightarrow C(L \cup M)$ between $u \circ E \circ R_{T, L \cup M}$ and the identity such that $R_{L, L \cup M} \circ H = h \circ (R_{L, L \cup M} \times \text{id}_I)$.

The equality $R_{L, L \cup M} \circ u = E \circ R_{T, L}$ implies also that $R_{T, L \cup M} \circ (u \circ E) = \text{id}_{C(T)}$. This shows $(L, E, h) \prec (L \cup M, u \circ E, H) \in \Lambda$ which implies $X(e) \leq M \leq L$, by maximality. The contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Example 1. Here we illustrate the reason for the complicated statement of Theorem 2.1. Let $X = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1) = \mathbb{RP}^\infty$ and let Z be a simply connected CW complex

of finite type. Set $Y := Z_{(\mathfrak{l})}$, the localization of Z with respect to a nonempty set \mathfrak{l} of odd primes. Note that Y may have infinitely many nontrivial homotopy groups (for instance if Z is any simply connected finite complex that is not contractible).

By Theorem 2.1, Y^X has the homotopy type of a CW complex (namely, Y). To see this, take $T = \{*\}$ and for each finite $L \leq \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ let L' be $\mathbb{R}P^{2m}$ for some m such that $L \leq \mathbb{R}P^{2m}$. Since Y is \mathfrak{l} -local, and $\mathbb{R}P^{2m}$ is 2-local while $2 \notin \mathfrak{l}$, evidently $H^i(\mathbb{R}P^{2m}, T; \pi_j(Y)) = \tilde{H}^i(\mathbb{R}P^{2m}; \pi_j(Y)) = 0$ for all i, j . This implies $\mathcal{WE}(\mathbb{R}P^{2m})$.

On the other hand, it is not true that $\mathcal{WE}(\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1})$; since $\tilde{H}_{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and $\pi_j(Y) \neq 0$ for infinitely many j , also $H^{2m+1}(\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}, *; \pi_j(Y)) \neq 0$ for infinitely many j . Even more, while it follows from Theorem 2.1 that the evaluation fibration $Y^X \rightarrow Y$, and the fibrations $Y^X \rightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{2m}}$ are homotopy equivalences, $Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}}$ is not equivalent to $Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{2m}} \simeq Y$. This follows easily by considering the fibration $Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}} \rightarrow Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{2m}}$. The fibre over the constant map is homeomorphic to the space of pointed maps $(\mathbb{R}P^{2m+1}/\mathbb{R}P^{2m}, *) \rightarrow (Y, *)$, that is $(Y, *)^{(S^{2m+1}, *)}$, and this is clearly not contractible if Y has infinitely many nontrivial homotopy groups.

Therefore it is essential that the appropriate complex L' be chosen so as to meet the requirement (ii) of Theorem 2.1. We exploit this in Example 3. \square

3. COUNTABLE DOMAIN

If X is a countable complex, then the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 may be slightly relaxed, and the conclusions somewhat strengthened. Moreover, the proof may be simplified to a large extent. The improved theorem reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume X is a connected countable CW complex. Let Y be any connected CW complex, and let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Suppose there exists a filtration $T = L_0 \leq L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \dots$ for X consisting of finite subcomplexes of X such that $X^{(1)} \subset T$ and*

$$H^i(L_n, L_{n-1}; (g|_{L_n})^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0$$

for all $j \geq 2$ and all $i \leq j$. Then the path component C of g is open and closed in Y^X , and is homotopy equivalent to the path component of $g|_T$ in Y^T .

Furthermore, if f is any map in C , then the inclusions $\{f\} \hookrightarrow C$ and $\{f\} \hookrightarrow Y^X$ are cofibrations.

Addendum. *If Y is simply connected, it is not necessary that T contain the 1-skeleton of X .*

We begin with some lemmas.

Recall that a topological space Z is called locally equiconnected if there exist a neighbourhood V of the diagonal Δ in $Z \times Z$ and a homotopy $\lambda: V \times I \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\lambda(z, w, 0) = z, \quad \lambda(z, w, 1) = w, \quad \lambda(z, z, t) = z, \quad \forall z, w, t.$$

Lemma 3.2. *If T is a finite, and Y an arbitrary CW complex, then Y^T is locally equiconnected.*

Proof. See Milnor ([12], Proof of Lemma 3). \square

Lemma 3.3. *If Z is a perfectly normal locally equiconnected space, then, for every point $z \in Z$, the inclusion $\{z\} \hookrightarrow Z$ is a closed cofibration.*

Proof. By Strøm ([16]), a closed inclusion $A \hookrightarrow Z$ is a cofibration if and only if there exist a neighbourhood U of A which deforms $\text{rel } A$ in Z to A , and a function $\alpha: Z \rightarrow I$ such that $A = \alpha^{-1}(0)$ and $\alpha|_{Z-U} = 1$.

It follows immediately from the definition of local equiconnectedness that each point z has a neighbourhood that deforms $\text{rel } z$ in Z to z . Since in a perfectly normal space every closed set is a G_δ , we obtain the function $\alpha: Z \rightarrow I$ with the desired properties using the Urysohn lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a countable, and Y an arbitrary CW complex. Then*

- (i) *the space Y^X is paracompact and perfectly normal, and*
- (ii) *Y^X is homotopy equivalent to a first countable space, from which it follows that the natural map $k(Y^X) \rightarrow Y^X$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. For (i), we note that X may be formed as an expanding union of compact subcomplexes. Therefore by Cauty [3], Y^X is stratifiable. This implies that it is paracompact and perfectly normal.

We turn to (ii) by taking first a simplicial complex Z , equipped with the strong topology, that is homotopy equivalent to Y . Then Z^X is homotopy equivalent to Y^X . Since Z is metrizable, Z^X has a basis consisting of sets of type $U(f, K, \varepsilon) = \{g \mid d_K(f, g) < \varepsilon\}$ where d is a metric for Z and K is a compact subset of X . Note that for $f \in Z^X$ the sets $U(f, L, \frac{1}{n})$ where L is a finite subcomplex of X , and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a countable local basis for f . Hence Z^X is first countable. In particular, it is compactly generated (see [15]). Because the function spaces involved are Hausdorff, $Y^X \simeq Z^X$ implies $k(Y^X) \simeq k(Z^X)$. Since $k(Z^X) = Z^X$, it follows that $k(Y^X) \simeq Y^X$. \square

Corollary 3.5 (of (ii) of Lemma 3.4). *If X has the homotopy type of a connected countable CW complex, and $Y \in \mathcal{W}$ is a Hausdorff space, then Y^X has CW type if and only if $k(Y^X)$ has CW type.* \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. From the long exact sequence of the triple for cohomology with local coefficients (see for example [14]) it follows by induction that $H^i(L_n, T; (g|_{L_n})^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and all $i \leq j$. The generalization of Milnor's theorem on the cohomology of an expanding union to local coefficients (see [19], Theorem 2.10*) yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 H^{i-1}(L_n, T; G_n) \rightarrow H^i(X, T; G) \rightarrow \varprojlim H^i(L_n, T; G_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $G_n = (g|_{L_n})^\# \pi_j(Y)$ and $G = g^\# \pi_j(Y)$. This shows that $H^i(X, T; g^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$ and all $i \leq j$. Hence the path component C of g is open and closed in Y^X by Lemma 2.7.

We associate to the filtration $T \leq L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \dots$ an inverse sequence of fibrations $\dots \rightarrow C(L_2) \rightarrow C(L_1) \rightarrow C(T)$ in which every space has the homotopy type of a CW complex by Milnor's theorem. By Lemma 2.7 and Whitehead's theorem, every fibration in the sequence is also a homotopy equivalence. Assume the notation of the proof of Theorem 2.1. Using the same technique as in the last two paragraphs of the mentioned proof (part the "induction step" in the Zorn lemma argument), we can inductively construct sections $E_n: C(T) \rightarrow C(L_n)$ and homotopies $h_n: C(L_n) \times I \rightarrow C(L_n)$ between $E_n \circ R_{T, L_n}$ and $\text{id}_{C(L_n)}$ that satisfy the coherence conditions (*) and (**). The coherence conditions imply the existence of a map $E: C(T) \rightarrow C(X)$ and a homotopy $h: C(X) \times I \rightarrow C(X)$ by the existence part of the universal

property of inverse limit. By uniqueness, E is a section of the restriction fibration $R_{T,X}: C(X) \rightarrow C(T)$, and h is a homotopy between $E \circ R_{T,X}$ and $\text{id}_{C(X)}$.

To prove the second part of the theorem, fix a function $f \in C(X)$. Since Y is a CW complex, it is locally equiconnected (see [7]). Because the L_n are finite, the spaces Y^{L_n} are also locally equiconnected, by Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.4, the spaces Y^{L_n} are perfectly normal, hence by Lemma 3.3, the inclusions $\{f|_{L_n}\} \hookrightarrow Y^{L_n}$ and therefore $\{f|_{L_n}\} \hookrightarrow C(L_n)$ are closed cofibrations.

If in the above inductive construction we apply Lemma 2.8 (which gives the maps E_n , and homotopies h_n) in full, we may assume that E_n maps $f|_T$ to $f|_{L_n}$ and the homotopy h_n is $\text{rel}\{f|_{L_n}\}$. Therefore $E(f|_T) = f$ and the homotopy h is $\text{rel}\{f\}$. Since $\{f|_T\} \hookrightarrow Y^T$ is a cofibration, there exists a neighbourhood U of $f|_T$ which deforms in $C(T)$ to $\{f|_T\} \text{ rel } \{f|_T\}$. Denote this deformation by k and define $\tilde{U} = R_{T,X}^{-1}(U) \subset C(X)$. The composite $E \circ k \circ (R_{T,X} \times \text{id}_I): \tilde{U} \times I \rightarrow C(X)$ is a homotopy $\text{rel}\{f\}$ between $E \circ R_{T,X}|_{\tilde{U}}$ and the constant map to f . If we concatenate this homotopy with $h|_{\tilde{U} \times I}$ we get a deformation in $C(X)$ of \tilde{U} to $\{f\} \text{ rel } \{f\}$. We conclude as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, noting that Y^X is perfectly normal by Lemma 3.4.

Hence $\{f\} \hookrightarrow C(X)$ and $\{f\} \hookrightarrow Y^X$ are closed cofibrations. \square

Example 2 (Example 1 enhanced). *Let X be a skeleton-finite complex with a minimal cell decomposition in the sense of Hatcher ([9], 4.C). This implies the existence of a filtration $L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \dots$ for X consisting of finite subcomplexes, such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the inclusion induced morphisms $H_k(L_n) \rightarrow H_k(X)$ are bijective for $k \leq n$ and zero for $k > n$.*

Assume that Y is a simple space, and that there exist an $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $j_0 \geq 2$, so that for all $j \geq j_0$ and all i with $i_0 \leq i \leq j$, $H^i(X; \pi_j(Y)) = 0$. Let $N = \max\{i_0, j_0\}$. Then the restriction fibration $Y^X \rightarrow Y^{L_N}$ is a homotopy equivalence onto the union of some path components. The filtration that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1 is $T = L_N \leq L_{N+1} \leq \dots$.

Note that this example includes the case where $X = K(G, n)$, for a finite group G , and $G \otimes \pi_k(Y) = 0$ for all large enough k .

In particular, let $X = K(G, n)$ where G is a finite p -group, and let Y be any (simply connected) finite complex. Then, for any subset \mathfrak{l} of primes different from p , the function space $Y_{(\mathfrak{l})}^X$ of maps from X to the \mathfrak{l} -localization of Y , has the homotopy type of a CW complex. \square

In the following proposition we show that if the cohomological conditions of Theorem 3.1 are suitably weakened, then an iterated loop space of the function space in question has CW homotopy type.

Proposition 3.6. *Let X and Y be connected CW complexes with X countable and $X^{(0)}$ a point, and let $g: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Let $r \geq 1$. Suppose there exists a filtration $L_0 \leq L_1 \leq L_2 \leq \dots$ for X consisting of finite subcomplexes of X such that*

$$H^i(L_n, L_{n-1}; (g|_{L_n})^\# \pi_j(Y)) = 0$$

for all $j \geq 2$, all $i \leq j - r$, and all n . Then for each component E of the iterated loop space $\Omega^{r-1}(Y^X, g)$ there exists a fibration $D \rightarrow E$ with discrete fibre, and the total space D having the homotopy type of a CW complex.

In particular, $\Omega^r(Y^X, g)$ has CW type.

Proof. Fix a number n and denote by F the fibre of the restriction fibration $Y^{L_n} \rightarrow Y^{L_{n-1}}$. The hypotheses imply $\pi_k(F, g) = 0$ for $k \geq r$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.7). Hence the morphism $\pi_i(\Omega^{r-1}(C(L_n), g)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\Omega^{r-1}(C(L_{n-1}), g))$ is bijective for $i \geq 2$, and injective for $i = 1$.

(Note that if $r = 1$, $\Omega^{r-1}(C(L_n), g) = C(L_n) = C_g(L_n)$ in the notation of Theorem 2.1. If $r > 1$, then since all path-components of $\Omega(C(L_n), g)$ are homotopy equivalent, we may assume that all further loop space iterations are taken with respect to the constant map of the previous one.)

The space $\Omega^{r-1}(C(L_0), g)$ has the homotopy type of a CW complex W . Let Z be a universal covering space of W . Denote by Z_0 the pull-back of $\Omega^{r-1}(C(L_0), g) \rightarrow W \leftarrow Z$. Then $q_0: Z_0 \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(C(L_0), g)$ is a covering space and $\pi_1(Z_0) = 0$ (for each path component).

If the covering space $Z_{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(C(L_{n-1}), g)$ has already been constructed, form a pull-back

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_n & \longrightarrow & Z_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega^{r-1}(C(L_n), g) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{r-1}(C(L_{n-1}), g) \end{array}$$

Note that since $Z_n \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(C(L_{n-1}), g)$ is a covering space with CW type base, Z_n has CW type as well, and since the fibre of the bottom row fibration has trivial fundamental group (on each path-component), it follows that $\pi_1(Z_n)$ is trivial, and $\pi_k(Z_n) \rightarrow \pi_k(Z_{n-1})$ is an isomorphism for all $k \geq 1$.

Proceeding inductively we obtain a commutative ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} Z_\infty & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & Z_2 & \longrightarrow & Z_1 & \longrightarrow & Z_0 \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_\infty & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{r-1}C(L_2) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{r-1}C(L_1) & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{r-1}C(L_0) \end{array}$$

where each square is a pull-back square, all horizontal arrows are fibrations, and Z_∞, W_∞ denote the inverse limits of the respective rows. Of course W_∞ equals $\Omega^{r-1}C(X)$.

By construction, the following is also a pull-back square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_\infty & \longrightarrow & Z_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ W_\infty & \longrightarrow & \Omega^{r-1}C(L_0) \end{array}$$

Pick a path component E of W_∞ . There exists a path-component D of Z_∞ covering E . If we denote by D_n the image of D in Z_n , then $D_{n+1} \rightarrow D_n$ is a fibration and a homotopy equivalence for each n . Hence the limit space of $\{D_n\}$ is homotopy equivalent to D_0 (see also Theorem B of [5], and [8]), therefore is path connected,

and since it contains D , it must equal D . This shows that D has the homotopy type of a CW complex.

Since $D \rightarrow E$ is a fibration with discrete fibre and CW type total space, $\Omega E = \Omega^r(Y^X, g)$ has CW type (see Schön [13]). \square

Corollary 3.7. *If the skeleton $X^{(n-r)}$ of a countable complex X is compact, and $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ for $k \geq n + 1$, then $\Omega^r Y^X$ has the homotopy type of a CW complex (for any path component of Y^X).* \square

The above corollary holds also without the countability assumption on X , by a modification of the proof of Theorem 2.1. However, our main application of Proposition 3.6 and the corollary is the following

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be a connected countable CW complex, and Y a connected CW complex with $\pi_k(Y)$ nontrivial for at most finitely many k . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The space Y^X has the type of a locally equiconnected space,*
- (ii) *the space Y^X has the type of a CW complex.*

Proof. We need only prove that (i) implies (ii). Let Y^X be homotopy equivalent to the locally equiconnected space Z . Then $\Omega^k Z$ is locally equiconnected for each $k \geq 0$ and each path component of Z (see Lemma 3.2). In particular, every space $\Omega^k Z$ is semilocally contractible. Since $\Omega^k Z$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^k Y^X$, it follows that $\Omega^k Y^X$ is semilocally contractible, for each k . In particular, all path components of every $\Omega^k Y^X$ are open.

Furthermore, note that $\Omega^k Y^X$ may be viewed as a certain (closed) subset of the space $Y^{X \times I^k}$, which is paracompact and perfectly normal, by Lemma 3.2. Hence so is $\Omega^k Y^X$.

If n is the largest integer for which $\pi_n(Y) \neq 0$, it follows from Proposition 3.6 that $\Omega^n(Y^X, g)$ has CW homotopy type, for all g . Since $\Omega^{n-1}(Y^X, g)$ is paracompact and semilocally contractible, it has the homotopy type of a CW complex by Allaud ([1]). Proceeding inductively we conclude that Y^X has the homotopy type of a CW complex. \square

Remark. If Y has the homotopy type of ΩW where W is a countable complex, then Y^X has the type of a locally equiconnected space if it is semilocally contractible. To see this, note that by a theorem of Milnor, ΩW has the type of a topological group G . Then G^X is also a topological group. If Y^X is semilocally contractible, so is G^X , and it follows by [2], Theorem 2.3. that G^X is actually locally equiconnected.

4. AN APPLICATION

We recall the following **Theorem B** of [5]. Let $\cdots \rightarrow Z_3 \xrightarrow{p_3} Z_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} Z_1$ be an inverse sequence of Hurewicz fibrations, where each space Z_n has the homotopy type of a CW complex, and for all N , all but finitely many of the fibrations p_n have N -connected fibres. Then the inverse limit Z_∞ has the homotopy type of a CW complex if and only if all but finitely many of the p_n are homotopy equivalences.

The authors of [5] have remarked in [6] that the proof of the above theorem (as given in [5]) has a gap, and that it is not clear whether the theorem is true. We give here a counterexample.

Lemma 4.1. *Let d, n be natural numbers. There exists a finite CW pair (D, S) with D contractible, S a Moore complex of type (\mathbb{Z}_d, n) , and D/S a Moore complex of type $(\mathbb{Z}_d, n+1)$.*

Proof. Form S as a sphere S^n with a disk B^{n+1} attached along a map of degree d , $S = B^{n+1} \sqcup_d S^n$. Let $S \cup B^{n+1}$ denote S with another disk attached along $\text{id}: S^n \rightarrow S^n$. If β represents the generator of $\pi_{n+1}(S \cup B^{n+1})$, D may be constructed as $D = B^{n+2} \sqcup_\beta (S \cup B^{n+1})$. \square

Example 3. *Let $\alpha: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ denote an increasing enumeration of the primes. Let Y be a CW complex with $\pi_{n^2}(Y) = \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)}$ for all $n \geq 2$, and $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ otherwise. Let (D_{n+1}, S_n) be the CW pair from Lemma 4.1 for which S_n is a Moore complex $M(\mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)}, n)$. For $n \geq 2$ define $L_n = \mathbb{R}P^{2n} \vee (\bigvee_{i=2}^n D_i) \vee S_n$. Then $L_{n-1} = \mathbb{R}P^{2n-2} \vee (\bigvee_{i=2}^{n-1} D_i) \vee S_{n-1}$, and this is a subcomplex of L_n in a natural way. Observe that $L_n/L_{n-1} = (\mathbb{R}P^{2n}/\mathbb{R}P^{2n-2}) \vee (D_n/S_{n-1}) \vee S_n$. It follows that*

$$H_k(L_n, L_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_2, & k = 2n - 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n-1)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)}, & k = n, \end{cases}$$

and $H_k(L_n, L_{n-1}) = 0$ otherwise. Define

$$X = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} L_n = \mathbb{R}P^{\infty} \vee \bigvee_{n=2}^{\infty} D_n \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\text{retraction}} \mathbb{R}P^{\infty}.$$

Since $X \simeq \mathbb{R}P^{\infty}$, also $Y^X \simeq Y^{\mathbb{R}P^{\infty}}$, which has the homotopy type of Y by Example 1.

We consider the restriction fibration $F = F_n \hookrightarrow Y^{L_n} \rightarrow Y^{L_{n-1}}$. If we represent a loop $(S^k, *) \rightarrow (F, *)$ by the adjoint $S^k \times L_n \rightarrow Y$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.7, the obstructions for $\pi_k(F) = 0$ (that is the obstructions for extending the adjoint loop to a map $B^{k+1} \times L_n \rightarrow Y$) lie in the groups $H^{j-k}(L_n, L_{n-1}; \pi_j(Y))$. Note that the only nontrivial possibilities are

$$\begin{aligned} H^n(L_n, L_{n-1}; \pi_{(n-1)^2}(Y)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n-1)}, \\ H^{n+1}(L_n, L_{n-1}; \pi_{(n-1)^2}(Y)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n-1)}, \\ H^n(L_n, L_{n-1}; \pi_{n^2}(Y)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)}, \\ H^{n+1}(L_n, L_{n-1}; \pi_{n^2}(Y)) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\pi_k(F_n) = 0$ for $k \leq (n-1)^2 - (n+2) = n^2 - 3n - 1$. Hence $\dots \rightarrow Y^{L_6} \rightarrow Y^{L_5} \rightarrow Y^{L_4}$ is an inverse system of fibrations between spaces of CW type, and the connectivity of the fibres increases to infinity. The limit space Y^X has the homotopy type of a CW complex, but none of the fibrations is a homotopy equivalence. To see this, note that the fibre F_n (over the constant map) is homeomorphic to the space of pointed maps $(Y, *)^{(L_n/L_{n-1}, *)} = (Y, *)^{((\mathbb{R}P^{2n}/\mathbb{R}P^{2n-2}) \vee (D_n/S_{n-1}) \vee S_n, *)}$. Hence $(Y, *)^{(S_n, *)}$ is dominated by F_n . But

$$\pi_{n^2-n}((Y, *)^{(S_n, *)}, \text{const}) \cong [S^{n^2-n} \wedge S_n, Y]_* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\alpha(n)},$$

by obstruction theory. Therefore F_n is not contractible. This concludes the counterexample. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Guy Allaud, *De-looping homotopy equivalences*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **23** (1972), 167-169.
- [2] Carlos R. Borges, *LECs, local mixers, topological groups and special products*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) **44** (1988), 252-258.
- [3] Robert Cauty, *Sur les espaces d'applications dans les CW-complexes*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **27** (1976), no. 3, 306-311.
- [4] James Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn & Bacon, 1966.
- [5] Jerzy Dydak, Ross Geoghegan, *The singular cohomology of the inverse limit of a Postnikov tower is representable*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), 649-654.
- [6] Jerzy Dydak, Ross Geoghegan, *Correction to: "The singular cohomology of the inverse limit of a Postnikov tower is representable" [Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1986), no. 4]*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), no. 1, 334.
- [7] Rudolf Fritsch, Renzo A. Piccinini, *Cellular structures in topology*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [8] Ross Geoghegan, *The inverse limit of homotopy equivalences between towers of fibrations is a homotopy equivalence—a simple proof*, Topology Proc. **4** (1979), 99-101.
- [9] Allen Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, <http://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher>.
- [10] Peter J. Kahn, *Some function spaces of CW type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1984), no. 4, 599-607.
- [11] Charles R. F. Maunder, *Algebraic topology*, Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, New York, 1996.
- [12] John Milnor, *On spaces having the homotopy type of a CW-complex*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 272-280.
- [13] Rolf Schön, *Fibrations over a CWh-base*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1976), no. 1, 165-166 (1977).
- [14] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [15] Norman E. Steenrod, *A convenient category of topological spaces*, Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 133-152.
- [16] Arne Strøm, *Note on cofibrations*, Math. Scand. **19** (1966), 11-14.
- [17] C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 56-69.
- [18] C. T. C. Wall, *Finiteness conditions for CW complexes. II*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A **295** (1966), 129-139.
- [19] George W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1978.