

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JADRANSKA 19, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

**Preprint series, Vol. 41 (2003), 863**

ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL  
PLANAR COMPACTA WHICH  
ARE NOT HOMOTOPICALLY  
EQUIVALENT TO ANY  
ONE-DIMENSIONAL  
COMPACTUM

U. Karimov      D. Repovš      W. Rosicki  
                    A. Zastrow

ISSN 1318-4865  
February 3, 2003

Ljubljana, February 3, 2003

# ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL PLANAR COMPACTA NOT HOMOTOPICALLY EQUIVALENT TO ANY ONE-DIMENSIONAL COMPACTUM

U. KARIMOV, D. REPOVŠ, W. ROSICKI AND A. ZASTROW

ABSTRACT. The paper provides examples of planar “homotopically two-dimensional” compacta, (i.e. of compact subsets of the plane that are not homotopy equivalent to any one-dimensional set) that have different additional properties than the first such constructed examples (amongst them cell-like, trivial  $\pi_1$ , and “everywhere” homotopically two-dimensional). It also points out that open subsets of the plane are never homotopically two-dimensional and that some homotopically two-dimensional sets cannot be in such a way decomposed into homotopically at most one-dimensional sets that the Mayer-Vietoris-Theorem could be straightforwardly applied.

## 1. Introduction

The well known example of Barratt and Milnor [BM] shows that there exists a Peano continuum  $X$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  whose singular homology groups with integer coefficients  $H_n(X)$  are nontrivial for every  $n > 1$ .

Planar sets behave more naturally with respect to their algebraic topology: By [Za], [CCZ] they are all aspherical, and by [Za2] also acyclic *in higher dimensions* with respect to singular homology, i.e.  $H_n(M)$  of any planar set  $M$ ,  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , is trivial for all  $n > 1$ . The proof in [Za2] is very delicate and complicated. However, for certain kinds of spaces there exist simpler proofs, e.g. for the spaces which are homotopy equivalent to a 1-dimensional separable metric space [CF]. Not all planar sets are homotopy equivalent to 1-dimensional spaces: Most recently Cannon and Conner [CC2] characterized when a discrete subset of the plane satisfies that its complement has this property, but the first (not codiscrete) examples with this property have already appeared before ([CCZ; §5], [Za; A.4.13]). The fundamental group of these spaces are uncountable.

This is one of the aspects in which we improve upon these results by providing the following three examples:

**Brief Description of our Main Examples:** All these spaces are planar continua that are not homotopy equivalent to any one-dimensional space. In addition

**Example 1**, (see Fig.1a) *shows that it is not necessary to have fundamental group to obtain this effect.* Indeed this space, which is constructed by wedging a null-sequence of two comb-spaces to the boundary of a disk, is path-connected, simply-connected and cellular (cf. 3.1-3.2),

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54F15, 55M10. Secondary: 54D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Homotopically fixed point, homotopy dimension, planar sets, asphericity, Peano continuum.




Fig 1: pictures of our main examples

**Example 2**, (see Fig.1b) shows that this effect can also be achieved for a Peano continuum that is a disjoint union of a disk and open intervals. It is built by similarly wedging countably many Hawaiian Earrings to the boundary of a disk (cf. 3.1–3.2).

**Example 3**, (see Fig.1c) shows that one can also construct planar Peano continua “no part” of which is homotopy equivalent to any one-dimensional set (cf. Def.2.1(ii)). Here the construction is based on the Sierpiński Carpet, by filling (instead of removing) an appropriate subset of all holes (cf. 3.3–3.4).

More precise definitions of these examples and proofs of these claims will follow in Sect.3. Sect.4 will then be devoted to proving the following facts:

**Proposition 1.1.** *A subset of the plane that is not homotopy equivalent to a one-dimensional space can neither be*

- (i) *simple connected and a Peano continuum (cf. Prop.4.1), nor be*
- (ii) *open (cf. Remark 4.2).*

Towards the end we will return to the question that was raised at the very beginning of this paper: We will point out that, although Examples 1 & 2 are not homotopy equivalent to any one-dimensional space, the proof of their higher acyclicity can be given in a simple way by reducing the situation to one-dimensional spaces. However, we will also point out that this way of reduction is not available for our Example 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

The (*Triangular*) *Comb space* is the following subspace of the plane:

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \in \left\{ 0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots \right\}, 0 \leq y \leq (1 - x) \text{ or } x \in [0, 1], y = 0 \right\}.$$

The *Hawaiian earring* is the planar space defined as:

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \left( x - \frac{1}{n} \right)^2 + y^2 = \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^2, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** (i) A space  $X$  is said to be *homotopically  $n$ -dimensional* (or to have *homotopy dimension  $n$* , abbrev.  $\text{hdim}X = n$ ), if it is homotopy equivalent to some  $n$ -dimensional space and is not homotopy equivalent to any  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional space (cf. [BK, p. 111]). Here by dimension of space we mean covering dimension.

(ii) We say that a space  $X$  is *everywhere homotopically  $n$ -dimensional* if for every open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and every id-homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  which is *stable* on  $X \setminus U$ , i.e.  $H(x, t) = x$  for every  $x \in X \setminus U$  and for every  $t$ , the intersection  $U \cap H(X, 1)$




Fig 2: A wedge of two comb spaces, as used in the construction of Example 1

is  $n$ -dimensional. By an *id-homotopy* we mean any mapping  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  such that  $H(x, 0) = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(iii) A subset  $X$  of the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is called *simply connected* if it is path-connected and its fundamental group is trivial, and it is called *cellular in  $\mathbb{R}^2$*  if it is the intersection of a decreasing sequence of topological disks, i.e.  $X = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i^2$ , where  $D_i^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  are homeomorphic to the standard disk  $D^2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$  and  $D_{i+1}^2 \subset \text{Int}(D_i^2)$ . See [MR], [Da; §III.15] for the relevance of cellular sets and their generalization, cell-like sets.

**Definition 2.2.** A point  $x_0$  of a space  $X$  is called a *homotopically fixed point (hf-point)* if, for any id-homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  and for every  $t \in I$ , the point  $x_0$  is a fixed point of the mapping  $H(\cdot, t)$ , i.e.  $H(x_0, t) = x_0$ , for all  $t \in I$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *The wedge  $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{C}$ , if constructed as in Fig.2, is a space where the wedge-point is homotopically fixed.*

*Proof.* Take two copies of comb-spaces  $A$  and  $B$ , embedded into the plane and denoted as shown in Fig.2, and call the resulting space  $X$ .

The point  $v^*$  is homotopically fixed in  $X$ . Indeed, suppose that it is not so. Then there exists an id-homotopy  $H : X \times I \rightarrow X$  and a number  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $H(v^*, t_0) = x^* \neq v^*$ . Since the space  $X$  is path connected we can suppose that  $x^* = b_0$  and that  $t_b$  is a minimal number such that

$$H(v^*, t_b) = b_0. \quad (*)$$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be disjoint neighborhoods of  $b_0$  and  $v^*$ , respectively and suppose that  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . Let  $W = V \cap H^{-1}(\cdot, t_b)(U)$ . This is an open nonempty set. Since  $v^*$  is the limit point of the  $a_n$ , there exists a number  $n_0$  such that  $a_n \in W \cap A$  if  $n > n_0$ . Consider the path  $H(a_n, \cdot) : [0, t_b] \rightarrow X$  for some  $n \geq N$ . Since  $H(a_n, 0) \in W$  and  $H(a_n, t_b) \in U$ , there exists  $t_n \in [0, t_b]$  such that  $H(a_n, t_n) = a_0$ . Because  $I$  is sequentially compact and  $a_n \rightarrow v^*$ , there exists a number  $t_a$ ,  $t_a < t_b$  such that  $H(v^*, t_a) = a_0$ . Since the condition  $H(v^*, t_a) = a_0 \neq v^*$  is similar to (\*), there exist  $t'_b < t_a < t_b$  such that  $H(v^*, t'_b) = b_0$ . This contradicts the minimality of the number  $t_b$ . So  $v^*$  is a homotopically fixed point of the space  $X$ .  $\square$

**Remarks 2.4** The proofs of our claims that come with Examples 1–3 all use the following principles:

- (i) They are all based on having one or more two-dimensional disks  $D^2$ , whose boundary is homotopically fixed at a dense set (and hence at all its points).

This also was the main idea of the examples in [CCZ; §5], [Za; A.4.13]. If then  $X$  is one of our examples,  $Y$  is 1-dimensional and  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} X$  is a homotopy equivalence and its inverse, the properties of the one-dimensional  $Y$  make it impossible that  $g \circ f|_{\partial D^2}$  would be the identity contradicting the homotopy fixedness of  $\partial D^2$ .

- (ii) An argument why  $g \circ f|_{\partial D^2} \neq \text{id}$  was given for metric  $Y$  in [CCZ; Thm.5.2(1)] by quoting [CC1]. The condition “metric” is not necessary when instead working with Čech-cohomology: Since it is defined via the same coverings as covering dimension, the second Čech-cohomology groups of a one-dimensional space are trivial. Therefore, when combined with the natural inclusion  $i$  into and the retraction  $r$  onto  $D^2$  of  $X$ , the map  $r \circ g \circ f \circ i$  cannot induce the identity of  $\check{H}^2(D^2, \partial D^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (iii) If  $X \supset Z \ni z$  and  $z$  is homotopically fixed in  $Z$ , we have to ask whether it is also homotopically fixed in  $X$ . The following two observations (iv) and (v) suffice to deal with all cases that come up in discussing our examples.
- (iv) *Let  $X$  be a topological space,  $A$  a retract of  $X$  and  $a_0$  an hf-point of  $A$ , and let  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be a retraction such that  $r^{-1}(a_0) = a_0$ . Then  $a_0$  is an hf-point of  $X$ .* This follows, since an id-homotopy  $H$  of  $X$  that moves  $a_0$  can via  $r \circ H$  be turned into an id-homotopy of  $A$  with this property.
- (v) *Let a metric space  $X$  be the union of two closed subspaces  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , let  $X_1 \cap X_2$  be an AR and let  $x_0 \in X_1$  be an hf-point of the path-connected non-trivial space  $X_1$ . Then  $x_0$  is an hf-point of the space  $X_1 \cup X_2$ .* The proof is, similarly as for the preceding item, based on turning an id-homotopy of  $X$  that moves  $x_0$  to  $a$  into one of  $X_1$  that moves  $x_0$ . This task is only not trivial, if  $a \in X_2$  and  $x_0 \in X_1 \cap X_2$ . Connect  $x_0$  and  $a$  by an arc  $A$ , and then find another non-trivial arc  $B$  that connects  $x_0$  to some point  $b$ . If possible, choose  $B$  inside  $X_1 \cap X_2$ , but in any case inside  $X_1$ . As an AR,  $X_1 \cap X_2$  is either a singleton, or it contains nontrivial arcs. The AR-property of  $X_1 \cap X_2$  and of  $B$  gives that a homeomorphism between arcs,  $f : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $x_0 \mapsto x_0$ ,  $a \mapsto b$  extends to a continuous mapping  $g : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$  with  $g|_{X_1 \cap X_2} = \text{id}$ . Therefore  $g$  can via the identity of  $X_1$  be extended to a map  $h : X \rightarrow X_2$ , and  $h \circ H$  is the desired homotopy that moves  $x_0$  to  $b$ .

### 3. Discussion of our Main Examples

**Construction 3.1.** For constructing Examples 1 & 2 consider in the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  the standard disk  $D^2$  and a countable dense subset  $M = \{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of the boundary of  $D^2$ . Attach to each point  $m_i$  a space  $X_m$  with an hf-point at this point such that the spaces do not intersect each other and the diameters of these spaces tend to zero,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\text{diam } X_m) = 0$ . In case of Ex.1 choose each  $X_m$  to be homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{C}$ , in case of Ex.2  $X_m \approx \mathcal{H}$  for each  $m$ . Use  $X$  to denote the resulting space.

**Proof 3.2.** The proof that  $\text{hdim}(X) = 2$  for both, Examples 1 & 2, follows 2.4, using 2.3 and 2.4(ii) as basis for getting the points on  $\partial D^2$  homotopically fixed. Ex.1 is cellular, as can be seen by explicitly constructing disks as required by Def.2.1(iii) in the spirit of that one that we painted in grey in Fig.4b. The verification of the remaining claims is elementary.  $\square$

**Construction 3.3.** Example 3 (see Fig.1c) is constructed according the following inductive process that is analogous to the construction of the Sierpiński Carpet: As for the Sierpiński Carpet, the construction is based on iteratively subdividing a




Fig 3a




Fig 3b

square into nine congruent subsquares, one “central square”  $c$ , four “corner squares”  $a_i$ , while the remaining four squares will be denoted by  $b_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ). The  $9^n$  squares on  $n$  iteratively subdivision steps are bijectively associated to the words of length 9 over the alphabet  $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_4, b_4, c\}$ , where the  $j^{\text{th}}$  letter describes the relative position of the square in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  subdivision step. The space  $\mathcal{S}$  now, our Example 3, is defined as the complement of the interior regions of those squares that can be described by a word of the form “ $\omega a_i c$ ”, where  $\omega$  is a word over the reduced alphabet  $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_4, b_4\}$ . In Fig.1c those areas which have such words of length at most three are white. In black we painted those areas which entirely belong to  $\mathcal{S}$ , since after three subdivisions it is already clear that no subregion can match the condition for not belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ , while in grey we painted those regions which will split up into white and black areas on forthcoming subdivision steps.

*Remark:* Note that neither the open, nor the closed disk is everywhere homotopically two-dimensional in the sense of Definition 2.1(ii), since the condition is not satisfied, e.g. for  $U = D^2$ . In contrast to this we prove:

**Proposition 3.4.**  *$\mathcal{S}$  is an everywhere homotopically 2-dimensional planar Peano continuum.*

*Proof.* This proof essentially rests on two observations:

- (i) the fact that the black squares of Fig.1c are dense in  $\mathcal{S}$  after infinitely many iterates. This in particular ensures that all points of  $\mathcal{S}$  have local dimension 2.
- (ii) Conversely, the white squares satisfy an analogous density-property which, in particular, ensures that a dense subset of boundary points of each black square consists of singular points of subspaces of  $\mathcal{S}$  that are homotopy equivalent to Hawaiian Earrings. Therefore all boundary points of all black squares will be homotopically fixed.

The square  $I_i^2$  in Fig.3a represents a black square and Fig.3b represents the interior structure of each of the smaller squares in Fig.3a. Essentially Fig.3 shows one way of how retractions can be defined that reduce the problem of showing the homotopic fixedness of the boundary of black squares to situations where Props. 2.4(ii)–(v) can be applied.

Having observed that the black squares are dense with homotopically fixed

boundaries, it follows that  $H(\mathcal{S}, 1) = \mathcal{S}$  for every id-homotopy  $H$ ; for the interior points of the black squares the proof is analogous as for Examples 1 & 2. Since this in particular holds for those homotopies that, in the spirit of Def.2.1(ii), fix the outside of some neighborhood, the proof of this Proposition is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. Proofs of additional propositions

The proof of Proposition 1.1(i) will follow from

**Proposition 4.1.** *Every simply connected planar Peano continuum is an AR space and therefore it is homotopy equivalent to a point.*

*Proof.* If the complement of a Peano space in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is not connected, then there exists a Jordan curve  $J$  in this space which is its retract, and therefore the space is not simply connected (see [Ku; Ch.10, §61, II, Thm.5]). Vice versa, if the complement of a continuum in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is connected, then it follows by the Alexander duality that this continuum is acyclic with respect to the Čech cohomology. By our assumption, the continuum  $X$  is simply connected, therefore its complement is connected and  $X$  acyclic. By [Bs; Ch. V, Theorem 13.1], every planar acyclic Peano continuum is an AR. So  $X$  is an AR and therefore homotopy equivalent to a point.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** Proposition 1.1(ii) seems to folklore knowledge since Bing's time, including its generalization to triangulable open  $m$ -dimensional manifolds. On the other hand we are not aware of a written version of this general claim apart from [Vr]. However, in the two-dimensional case that is of interest for us, we can provide the following simpler argument, and for that argument we are indebted to the referee:

*Proof of Proposition 1.1(ii).* The following construction is to be performed separately for each of the at most countable many connected components, therefore we may assume that our open set is a *region*, i.e. an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Each simply connected region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is an open disk and therefore homotopy equivalent to a point. Any non-simply connected region in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is homeomorphic to the complement of a compact 0-dimensional subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (see, e.g. [Ku; Ch. 10, 61, IV, Corollary 9]. Every 0-dimensional compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is tame ([Ku; Ch. 10, 61, V, Theorem 4]) i.e. there exists an autohomeomorphism of the plane which maps this 0-dimensional set to a compact subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . So it is sufficient to prove that  $\text{hdim}(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{F}) < 2$ . The fundamental groups of such sets have been investigated by K. Eda [Ed].

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a compact zero-dimensional subset of  $\mathbb{R}^1$ . Since it is compact, there exist  $a_0$  and  $\varepsilon_0$  such that  $(a_0 - \varepsilon_0, a_0 + \varepsilon_0) \supset \mathcal{F}$ . Choose  $c_0$  as (one of) the nearest point(s) of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $a_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is zero-dimensional, it cannot contain an entire interval, hence  $\{c_0\}$  already is a connected component of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For similar reasons it is always possible, if  $a_0 = c_0$  and if  $(c_0 - \varepsilon, c_0)$  and  $(c_0, c_0 + \varepsilon)$  intersect for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  with  $\mathcal{F}$ , to find two monotone sequences of closed disjoint intervals that have empty intersection with  $\mathcal{F}$  and converge from different sides in such a way to  $c_0$ , that the complementary intervals all intersect with  $\mathcal{F}$ . Choose  $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the sequence of midpoints of these complementary intervals and  $\varepsilon_i$  as the corresponding sequence of radii. As a result of this construction, we have that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  covers the entire  $\mathcal{F} \setminus \{c_0\}$  while  $a_0 - \varepsilon_0 < a_1 < a_3 < a_5 < \dots < c_0 < \dots < a_6 < a_4 < a_2 < a_0 + \varepsilon_0$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^1 \supset \mathcal{L} := (a_0 - \varepsilon_0, a_1 - \varepsilon_1) \cup (a_2 + \varepsilon_2, a_0 + \varepsilon_0) \cup$




Fig 4a




Fig 4b

$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_{2 \cdot j-1} + \varepsilon_{2 \cdot j-1}, a_{2 \cdot j+1} - \varepsilon_{2 \cdot j+1}) \cup (a_{2 \cdot j+2} + \varepsilon_{2 \cdot j+2}, a_{2 \cdot j} - \varepsilon_{2 \cdot j})$ . Observe that by construction  $\mathcal{L} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \partial U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  has empty intersection with  $\mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, obviously  $\overline{U(a_0, \varepsilon_0)} - (\{c_0\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U(a_i, \varepsilon_i))$  retracts to this one-dimensional set (see Fig.4a).

We then iteratively continue to build this one-dimensional set  $\mathcal{L} \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \partial U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  into the interior of each of the disks  $U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  by applying the same scheme of construction as before to the disk  $U(a_0, \varepsilon_0)$ . Finally, from combining the retraction constructed above with the analogous retractions inside  $U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  ( $i > 1$ ), and from simultaneously retracting the outside of  $U(a_0, \varepsilon_0)$  to its boundary, the desired result follows. — Observe that the case, where any of the sets  $(c_0, c_0 + \varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{F}$  or  $(c_0 - \varepsilon, c_0) \cap \mathcal{F}$  is empty for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , is not markedly different: In this case just a finite (or empty) set of neighborhoods  $U(a_i, \varepsilon_i)$  suffices to cover the corresponding segment of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

*Remark:* Since the vertices of the above system of circles and intervals on  $\mathbb{R}^1$  that we constructed as a retract for  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{F}$  do only accumulate at points of  $\mathcal{F}$ , this line-system can be regarded as a locally tame graph in  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{F}$ , and hence it has the topology of a one-dimensional CW-complex.

**Definition 4.3.** A space  $X$  is said to be *homotopically decomposable* if there exist open subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  such that  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ ,  $\text{hdim}(X_1) = \text{hdim}(X_2) = \text{hdim}(X_1 \cap X_2) \leq 1$  and  $H_1(X_1 \cap X_2) \rightarrow H_1(X_1) \oplus H_1(X_2)$  is a monomorphism. In this case it follows from Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence and from Curtis' & Fort's result [CF] that all decomposable spaces are acyclic in higher dimensions.

Note that our Examples 1 & 2 and the examples from [CCZ; §5] and [Za; A.4.13] are homotopically decomposable, e.g.  $X_1 =$  complement of the mid-point,  $X_2 =$  a small disk-neighborhood of the mid-point. Such decompositions should also exist for all spaces where, similarly as in the proof of Prop.1.1(ii), all holes can be reduced to a closed set that is arranged along a line. However, planar Peano continua without such a decomposition do exist, e.g. Ex. 3 or Ex. 4.4 below. The proofs are analogous, we restrict ourselves to exposing the proof in the simpler case of Ex. 4.4.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $X$  be our Example 2,  $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable number of copies of  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{W} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  be the compact wedge with respect any base point lying on the of boundary  $D^2$ . This gives a Peano continuum that can be embedded into the plane.



**Proposition 4.5.** *Example 4.4 is an indecomposable 2-dimensional planar Peano continuum.*

*Proof.* Every open subset  $U$  of  $\mathcal{W}$  which contains the base point of  $\mathcal{W}$  should contain one of the factors  $X_i \approx X$ . By Remark 2.4(v) all  $hf$ -points of  $X_i$  are  $hf$ -points of  $U$  and hence  $U$  is homotopically 2-dimensional. It follows that the space  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$  is nondecomposable.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** This research was supported by the Slovenian-Polish research grant No. SLO-POL 24 (2002–2003), the MESS research program No. 101-509, and the grant BW UG 5100-5-0233-2. The authors thank Jože Malešič for drawing the figures for this paper and contributing several comments to preliminary versions. We also acknowledge the remarks and suggestions from the referee.

#### REFERENCES

- [BM] M. G. Barratt and J. Milnor, *An example of anomalous singular homology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1962), 293–297.
- [Bs] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1967.
- [BK] K. S. Brown and P. J. Kahn, *Homotopy dimension and simple cohomological dimension of spaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 111–127.
- [CC1] J. W. Cannon and G. R. Conner, *On the fundamental groups of one-dimensional spaces*, preprint (1998).
- [CC2] J. W. Cannon and G. R. Conner, *The homotopy dimension of codiscrete subsets of the 2-sphere  $S^2$* , preprint (2003).
- [CCZ] J. W. Cannon, G. R. Conner and A. Zastrow, *One-dimensional sets and planar sets are aspherical*, Topol. Appl. **120** (2002), 23–45.
- [CF] M. L. Curtis and M. K. Fort Jr., *Singular homology of one-dimensional spaces*, Ann. Math. **69** (1959), 309–313.
- [Da] R. J. Daverman, *Decomposition of Manifolds*, Academic Press, Orlando, 1986.
- [Ed] K. Eda, *Free  $\sigma$ -products and fundamental groups of subspaces of the plane*, Topol. Appl. **84** (1998), 283–306.
- [Ku] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 2, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [MR] W. J. R. Mitchell and D. Repovš, *The topology of cell-like mappings*, Conference on Differential Geometry and Topology (Sardinia, 1988), Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari, Suppl. **58** (1988), 265–300.
- [Vr] J. Vrabec, *Nonclosed  $m$ -manifolds have  $(m-1)$ -dimensional homotopy type* (2002), unpublished manuscript, University of Ljubljana.
- [Za] A. Zastrow, *Planar sets are aspherical*, Habilitationsschrift, Ruhr-Universität-Bochum, Bochum, 1997/1998.
- [Za2] A. Zastrow, *The singular homology groups of planar sets do not behave anomalously*, Topology Atlas Prepr. no. 384 at <http://at.yorku.ca/i/d/e/b/11.htm>.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF TAJIKISTAN, UL. AINY 299<sup>A</sup>,  
DUSHANBE, TAJIKISTAN 734063  
*E-mail address:* `umed@ac.tajik.net`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, P.O. BOX  
2964, LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA 1001  
*E-mail address:* `dusan.repovs@uni-lj.si`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, UL. WITA STWOSZA 57, GDAŃSK,  
POLAND 80-952  
*E-mail address:* `wrosicki@math.univ.gda.pl`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, UL. WITA STWOSZA 57, GDAŃSK,  
POLAND 80-952  
*E-mail address:* `zastrow@math.univ.gda.pl`