

UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
JADRANSKA 19, 1 111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

**Preprint series, Vol. 43 (2005), 1000**

ON THE CROSSING NUMBER OF  
CARTESIAN PRODUCTS WITH  
PATHS

Drago Bokal

ISSN 1318-4865

December 8, 2005

Ljubljana, December 8, 2005

# On the crossing number of Cartesian products with paths

DRAGO BOKAL<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics  
Ljubljana, Slovenia  
`drago.bokal@imfm.uni-lj.si`

L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X-ed: July 12, 2005

## Abstract

Using a newly introduced operation on graphs and its counterpart on graph drawings, we prove the conjecture of Jendrol' and Ščerbová from 1982 about the crossing number of the Cartesian product  $K_{1,m} \square P_n$ . Our approach is applicable to the capped Cartesian products of  $P_n$  with any graph containing a dominating vertex.

*Keywords:* crossing number, Cartesian product, path.

## 1 Introduction

Determination of crossing numbers of graphs (see [7] for the folklore definitions) is a notorious problem in Graph Theory, as in general it is quite easy to find a drawing of sufficiently “nice” graph in which the number of crossings can hardly be decreased, but it is very difficult to prove that such a drawing indeed has the smallest possible number of crossings. Thus it is not surprising that the exact crossing number is known only for few graph families, and that the arguments often strongly depend on the structure of the graphs considered.

The crossing number problem was for some time studied for Cartesian product (cf. [1]) of paths, cycles and stars with various other graphs. In this case the problem seems more tractable, mostly due to the richness of patterns that the Cartesian product of graphs possesses. Usually, the optimality is established in the way that the crossing number of a small graph is obtained exploiting its structure, and then an inductive proof is deduced with the help of the following contradiction: if the larger graph would have fewer crossings than stated, we would be able to modify its drawing to a drawing of a smaller graph with too few crossings. Often also some insight into the structure of the optimal drawing of the larger graph is needed in the sense that if it possesses a certain structure, then some lower bound on the number of crossings follows.

---

*AMS Subject Classification (2000):* Primary: 05C10, Secondary: 05C38

<sup>†</sup>Supported in part by the Ministry of Education, Science and Sport of Slovenia, Research Program P1-0507-0101.

Several results were obtained using this and similar techniques. To our knowledge, the first result of this kind is the proof of Ringeisen and Beineke [8] that  $\text{cr}(C_3 \square C_n) = n$ . In [1] they extend this result to  $G \square C_n$  where  $G$  is any graph on four vertices, except the 3-star  $S_3 = K_{1,3}$ . This is later established by Jendrol' and Ščerbová [4], who obtain  $\text{cr}(S_3 \square C_n)$  and  $\text{cr}(S_3 \square P_n)$  for all values  $n \geq 3$ . In their paper they conjecture that

$$\text{cr}(S_m \square P_n) = (n-1) \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor \quad (1)$$

for an arbitrary star  $S_m = K_{1,m}$  and path  $P_n$  of length  $n \geq 1$ . This conjecture is proved by Klešč [6] for  $m = 4$ , along with the explicit formula for  $S_4 \square C_n$ . An overview of other results about crossing numbers of some graphs and graph families obtained as Cartesian products is given in [7]. The most recent result on the topic was the proof of the conjecture about crossing number of product of cycles  $C_m \square C_n$  for  $n \geq m(m-1)$  by Glebsky and Salazar [3].

In this contribution we prove the conjecture (1). The approach is seemingly new: instead of exploiting the structure of drawings of the graphs in question, we propose an operation on drawings of graphs and describe a sufficient combinatorial condition that this operation preserves the optimality of the drawings. This operation was initially developed for constructing crossing critical graphs and applied to settle a question of Salazar [9] about existence of infinite families of crossing critical graphs with prescribed average degree [2], and it was observed afterwards that it solves this quite unrelated conjecture.

## 2 Zip product

For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $G_i$  be a graph and let  $v_i \in V(G_i)$  be its vertex of degree  $d$ . Let  $N_i = N_{G_i}(v_i)$  be the set of neighboring vertices of  $v_i$  and let  $\sigma : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$  be a bijection. We call  $\sigma$  a *zip function* of the graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  at vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . The *zip product* of the graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  according to  $\sigma$  is defined to be the graph  $G_1 \odot_\sigma G_2$ , obtained from the disjoint union of  $G_1 - v_1$  and  $G_2 - v_2$  after adding the edge  $u\sigma(u)$  for every  $u \in N_1$ .

Let  $D_i$  be a drawing of a graph  $G_i$ . The drawing imposes a cyclic ordering of the edges incident with  $v_i$ , which can be extended to its neighborhood  $N_i$ . Let the bijection  $\pi_i : N_i \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d\}$  be one of the corresponding labelings. We define  $\sigma : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ ,  $\sigma = \pi_2^{-1} \pi_1$ , to be the *zip function* of the drawings  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  at vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . The *zip product* of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  according to  $\sigma$  is the drawing  $D_1 \odot_\sigma D_2$ , obtained from  $D_1$  by adding a mirrored copy of  $D_2$  that has  $v_2$  on the infinite face disjointly into some face of  $D_1$  that contains the vertex  $v_1$ , removing the vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  together with small disks around them from the drawings, and then joining the edges according to the function  $\sigma$ . As  $\sigma$  respects the ordering of the edges around  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , the edges between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  may be drawn without introducing any new crossings. Clearly  $D_1 \odot_\sigma D_2$  is a drawing of  $G_1 \odot_\sigma G_2$ , which implies the following.

**Lemma 1** *For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $D_i$  be an optimal drawing of  $G_i$ ,  $v_i \in V(G_i)$  be a vertex of degree  $d$  and let  $\sigma$  be a zip function of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  according to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Then  $\text{cr}(G_1 \odot_\sigma G_2) \leq \text{cr}(G_1) + \text{cr}(G_2)$ .*

In the sequel of the section we present a sufficient condition for equality in Lemma 1. Let  $S_n = K_{1,n}$  be a star graph with  $n$  vertices of degree 1 (called the *leaves* of the star) and one vertex of degree  $n$  (the *center*). Let  $G$  be a graph and  $S \subseteq V(G)$ ,  $|S| = n$ . We say that  $S$  is

$k$ -star-connected in  $G$  if there exist  $k$  disjoint sets  $F_1, \dots, F_k \subseteq E(G)$ , such that either  $G[F_i]$  is a subdivision of  $S_n$  with  $S$  being the leaves, or  $G[F_i]$  is a subdivision of  $S_{n-1}$  with all its leaves and the center belonging to  $S$ . We call each  $F_i$  a *star-set* of  $S$ .

**Lemma 2** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be disjoint graphs,  $v_i \in V(G_i)$ ,  $\deg(v_i) = d$ , and let the neighborhood  $N_i$  of  $v_i$  be 2-star-connected in  $G_i - v_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\text{cr}(G_1 \odot_\sigma G_2) \geq \text{cr}(G_1) + \text{cr}(G_2)$  for any bijection  $\sigma : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F = \{u\sigma(u) \mid u \in N_{G_1}(v_1)\}$  be the set of edges joining  $G_1 - v_1$  and  $G_2 - v_2$  in  $G := G_1 \odot_\sigma G_2$ . For  $i, j = 1, 2$ , let  $F_{ij} \subseteq E_i := E(G_i - v_i)$  be disjoint star-sets of  $N_i$ . Let  $G_{1j}$  be the subgraph of  $G$ , generated with edges  $E_1, F_{2j}$  and  $F$ . We define similarly the graph  $G_{2j}$ . Clearly  $G_{ij}$  is isomorphic to a subdivision of  $G_i$ , thus  $\text{cr}(G_{ij}) = \text{cr}(G_i)$ .

Let  $D$  be an optimal drawing of  $G$ , and let  $D_{ij}$  be the corresponding subdrawing of  $G_{ij}$ . We have  $\text{cr}(G_1) \leq \text{cr}_D(E_1, E_1) + \text{cr}_D(E_1, F) + \text{cr}_D(E_1, F_{2j})$ , thus also  $\text{cr}(G_1) \leq \text{cr}_D(E_1, E_1) + \text{cr}_D(E_1, F) + \frac{1}{2} \text{cr}_D(E_1, F_{21} \cup F_{22})$ .

A similar inequality holds for  $\text{cr}(G_2)$ . Summing up we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cr}(G_1) + \text{cr}(G_2) &\leq \text{cr}_D(E_1, E_1) + \text{cr}_D(E_2, E_2) + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} (\text{cr}_D(E_1, F_{21} \cup F_{22}) + \text{cr}_D(E_2, F_{11} \cup F_{12})) + \\ &\quad \text{cr}_D(E_1 \cup E_2, F) \\ &\leq \text{cr}_D(E_1, E_1 \cup F) + \text{cr}_D(E_2, E_2 \cup F) + \text{cr}_D(E_1, E_2) \\ &= \text{cr}(D) - \text{cr}_D(F, F) \leq \text{cr}(G). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $F_{ij} \subseteq E_i$  are disjoint for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , thus a crossing of any  $e \in E_1$  with some  $f \in E_2$  can be counted at most twice in the sum of  $\text{cr}_D(E_i, F_{3-i,j})$ . This justifies the second inequality.  $\square$

### 3 The crossing number of $S_m \square P_n$

With  $\tilde{G}$  we denote a double suspension of  $G$ , that is the graph, obtained from  $G$  by adding two vertices  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  and the edges  $v_i v$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and each  $v \in V(G)$ . We call a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  a *dominating vertex* of  $G$ , if it is adjacent to all other vertices in  $G$ . With  $P_n$  we denote the path of length  $n$ , its vertices are  $0, \dots, n$ . With  $\widehat{G \square P_n}$  we denote the *capped Cartesian product* of  $G$  and  $P_n$ , i.e. the graph, obtained from  $G \square P_n$  by adding two new vertices  $v_0$  and  $v_n$  and connecting  $v_0$  with all the vertices of  $G \square \{0\}$  and  $v_n$  with all the vertices of  $G \square \{n\}$  in  $G \square P_n$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let  $G$  be a graph with a dominating vertex. Then for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\text{cr}(\widehat{G \square P_n}) = (n + 1) \text{cr}(\tilde{G})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G_n = \widehat{G \square P_n}$ . Then  $G_0 = \tilde{G}$  and  $G_n = G_{n-1} \odot_{\iota_n} \tilde{G}$ , where  $\iota_n : N_{n-1} \rightarrow N_1$  maps a vertex  $v \in N_{n-1} = N_{G_{n-1}}(v_{n-1})$  to its counterpart in  $N_1 = N_{\tilde{G}}(v_1)$ . The proof of this observation is merely technical and left to the reader. As  $G$  has a dominating vertex, the vertices  $v_1, v_2 \in V(\tilde{G})$  have 2-star connected neighborhoods in  $\tilde{G}$ ; the same holds for

$v_0, v_n \in G_n$ . Lemma 2 implies  $\text{cr}(G_n) \geq (n+1) \text{cr}(\tilde{G})$ . A drawing  $D_n$  of  $G_n$  with its crossing number reaching this bound can be obtained from any optimal drawing  $D$  of  $\tilde{G}$ :

For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $\pi_i$  be the circular labeling of vertices of  $V(G)$  around  $v_i$  in  $D$ . Then in the mirror drawing  $D'$  the vertex  $v_i$  has  $\pi_i^{-1}$  as the circular labeling of its neighborhood. Set  $D_0 = D$  and for odd  $n$ , let  $D_n = D_{n-1} \odot_\iota D$  using a vertex with labeling  $\pi_1$  in both drawings, and for even  $n$  let  $D_n = D_{n-1} \odot_\iota D'$  using a vertex with labeling  $\pi_2^{-1}$ . Vertices with such labelings exist, as we mirror the second drawing in the zip product.  $\square$

**Corollary 4** For  $m, n \geq 1$  we have  $\text{cr}(S_m \square P_n) = (n-1) \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Proof.** The double suspension  $\tilde{S}_m$  has  $K_{3,m}$  as a subgraph. Kleitman [5] proved that  $\text{cr}(K_{3,m}) = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$  and we leave to the reader to augment the drawing of  $K_{3,m}$  presented in [10] to the drawing of  $\tilde{S}_m$  without introducing any new crossings.

We may assume  $n \geq 2$ . Then Theorem 3 implies that  $\text{cr}(S_m \widehat{\square} P_{n-2}) = (n-1) \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor$ . The claim follows, as  $S_m \square P_n$  is a subdivision of  $S_m \widehat{\square} P_{n-2}$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] L. W. Beineke, R. D. Ringeisen, On the crossing number of product of cycles and graphs of order four, *J. Graph Theory* 4 (1980), 145–155.
- [2] D. Bokal, Infinite families of crossing-critical graphs with average degree  $q \in (3, 3\frac{1}{2}]$ , in preparation.
- [3] L. Y. Glebsky, G. Salazar, The crossing number of  $C_m \square C_n$  is as conjectured for  $n \geq m(m+1)$ , *J. Graph Theory* 47 (2004), 53–72.
- [4] S. Jendrol', M. Ščerbová, On the crossing numbers of  $S_m \widehat{\square} P_n$  and  $S_m \square C_n$ ; *Čas. Pest. Mat.* 107 (1982), 225–230.
- [5] D. J. Kleitman, The crossing number of  $K_{5,n}$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 9 (1971), 315–323.
- [6] M. Klešč, On the crossing number of the Cartesian product of stars and paths or cycles, *Math. Slovaca* 41 (1991), 113–120.
- [7] M. Klešč, The crossing number of  $K_{2,3} \square C_3$ , *Discrete Math.* 251 (2002), 109–117.
- [8] R. D. Ringeisen, L. W. Beineke, The crossing number of  $C_3 \square C_n$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 24 (1978), 134–136.
- [9] G. Salazar, Infinite families of crossing critical graphs with given average degree, *Discrete Math.* 271 (2003), 343–350.
- [10] A. T. White, L. W. Beineke, Topological Graph Theory, in: L. W. Beineke, R. J. Wilson, eds., *Selected Topics in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1978, 15–50.